UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,164	03/31/2004	Min Zuo	121036-067	8207
35684 7590 06/05/2008 BUTZEL LONG IP DOCKETING DEPT 350 SOUTH MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THAO T	
SUITE 300	350 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@butzel.com boudrie@butzel.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/815,164	ZUO ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao T. Tran	1794				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Thao T. Tran</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Michael Gzybowski</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>4/23-5/6/2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-3, 6-10, 15, and 17</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lin et al, Chen et al, Watanabe et al.</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reache	d. g)□ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <i>The examiner called to suggest the cancelation of claims 1-3, 6, and 17 and the submission of a terminal disclaimer to overcome a newly found obviousness type double patenting over US Pat.</i> 6,770,733 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel has authorized the examiner to cancel claims 1-3, 6, and 17 after a restriction is made. However, a terminal disclaimer has not been received yet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS						
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Thao T. Tran/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is a	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1 Examiner's signature, if requ					
Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)	rview Summary	Papar No. 20020520				
F10L-413 (Rev. 04-03)	i view Summary	Paper No. 20080530				